

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,319	02/17/2006	Michel Drancourt	123296	8564
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 05/21/200 RIDGE PLC	)	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 320850			THOMAS, DAVID C	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1637	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. 10/529.319 DRANCOURT ET AL.

Applicant(s)

Interview Summary							
merview dummary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	DAVID C. THOMAS	1637					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>DAVID C. THOMAS</u> .	(3) Teresa Strzelecka.						
(2) <u>Jeff Bousquet</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 12 May 2009.							
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☑ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-4,7-12,23 and 24</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Doucette-Stamm, Haselbeck, Kunsch</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The discussion focused on the written description relection, including relevant examples from the new Written Description Training Materials. Suggestions were also made for claim amendments to overcome the relection. Reioinder of the method claims was also discussed, emphasizing the need for maintaining the same scope between any allowed product and rejoined method claims.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/David C Thomas/ Examiner, Art Unit 1637							